arXiv:1512.02170v2 [math.RT] 11 Jan 2016

The Littlewood-Richardson rule for wreath products with symmetric groups and the quiver of the category $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$

Itamar Stein*

Department of Mathematics
Bar Ilan University
52900 Ramat Gan
Israel

Abstract

We give a new proof for the Littlewood-Richardson rule for the wreath product $F \wr S_n$ where F is a finite group. Our proof does not use symmetric functions but more elementary representation theoretic tools. We also derive a branching rule for inducing the natural embedding of $F \wr S_n$ to $F \wr S_{n+1}$. We then apply the generalized Littlewood-Richardson rule for computing the ordinary quiver of the category $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$ where $\mathbf{FI}_n$ is the category of all injective functions between subsets of an n-element set.

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group and let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup. By Maschke's theorem, group algebras (over $\mathbb{C}$) are semisimple so every group representation is a finite direct sum of irreducible ones. A basic question in the representation theory of finite groups is the following one: Let V be some H-representation, what

*This paper is part of the author's PHD thesis, being carried out under the supervision of Prof. Stuart Margolis. The author's research was supported by Grant No. 2012080 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF).

is the decomposition of the inducted representation $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G V$ into irreducible G-representations? Alternatively, let U be some G-representation, what is the decomposition of the restriction $\mathrm{Res}_H^G U$ into irreducible H-representations? By Frobenius reciprocity, answering one of these questions essentially answers the other one. Moreover, since both induction and restriction are additive, it is enough to consider the case where U or V are irreducible representations. Even considering this reduction, the question, in general, is very difficult. If $G = S_n$ the answer is known for certain natural choices of H and these solutions are often called branching rules. The most classical case is where $H = S_{n-1}$ viewed as the subgroup of all permutations that fix n. An important generalization is the Littlewood-Richardson rule which gives the answer for the case $H = S_k \times S_{n-k}$.

Let F and G be finite groups such that G acts on the left of a finite set X. We denote by $F \wr_X G$ the wreath product of F and G. The representation theory of $F \wr_X G$ is a well-studied subject (see [3] and [6, Chapter 4]) and the case $G = S_n$ with the natural action on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is of special importance. Finding generalizations for the branching rules is a natural question. The "classical" branching rule for inducing from $F \wr S_n$ to $F \wr S_{n+1}$ was found by Pushkarev [10]. In this paper we generalize the Littlewood-Richardson rule to the group $F \wr S_n$. After the present paper was already circulating, we became aware of the paper [5] by Ingram, Jing and Stitzinger, where the same result was obtained using symmetric functions. However, our approach is different. We use only elementary representation theoretic tools and base our proof on the explicit description of the irreducible representations of $F \wr S_n$. In Section §5 we use the generalized Littlewood-Richardson rule to retrieve Pushkarev's result.

Then we turn to give an application to the representation theory of a natural family of categories. Denote by **FI** the category of finite sets and injective functions. The representation theory of **FI** is currently under active research which was initiated in [4]. There is also research on the representation theory of the wreath product $F \wr \mathbf{FI}$ (see [8, 11, 13]). We will be interested here in the finite version of this category. We denote by $\mathbf{FI}_n$ the category of all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and injective functions. In Section §6 we will give a description of the ordinary quiver of the algebra of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$. The case where F is the trivial group was originally done by [2] and a simple proof was later given in [9]. For the general case, we imitate the method of [9] but where they use usual branching rule for S_n we will use the generalization for $F \wr S_n$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Wreath product

Throughout this paper F and G will be finite groups such that G acts on the left of some finite set X. An element $f \in F^X$ is a function from X to F. Note that F^X is a group, multiplication being defined componentwise. We can also define a left action of G on F^X by

$$(g * f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x).$$

It is easy to verify that this is indeed a left action.

Definition 2.1. The wreath product of F with G denoted $F \wr_X G$ is the semidirect product $F^X \rtimes G$. In other words, it is the set $F^X \times G$ with multiplication given by

$$(f, g) \cdot (f', g') = (f(g * f'), gg').$$

If $H \leq G$ is a subgroup, we can restrict the action on X to H and get the group $F \wr_X H$ which is a subgroup of $F \wr_X G$.

Clearly, S_n acts on $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ (for $n \leq k$) by permuting the first n elements and fixing the other ones. We refer to this action as the *standard action* of S_n on $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. In this case we denote the wreath product with F by $F \wr_k S_n$. The focus of this paper will be the case where $k = n$ and we will simply denote this group by $F \wr S_n$. There is a very natural way to think of this group. Recall that we can identify S_n with the group of permutation matrices, that is, a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ can be identified with an $n \times n$ matrix A where

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \pi(j) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Similarly, we can identify $F \wr S_n$ with a group of matrices, but here the non-zero entries can be any element of F. In other words, the tuple (f, π) is identified with the $n \times n$ matrix A where

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} f(i) & \pi(j) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The multiplication of $F \wr S_n$ is then identified with matrix multiplication when

one assumes

$$0 \cdot a = a \cdot 0 = 0, \quad a + 0 = 0 + a = a$$

for every $a \in F$.

The following fact will be of use (see [3, Proposition 2.1.3] for proof).

Lemma 2.2 (Distributivity of the wreath product)**.** *Let G_1 and G_2 be groups acting on disjoint sets X_1 and X_2 respectively, so $G_1 \times G_2$ acts on the disjoint union $X = X_1 \dot\cup X_2$. Then*

$$(F \wr_{X_1} G_1) \times (F \wr_{X_2} G_2) \cong F \wr_X (G_1 \times G_2).$$

2.2 Complex group representations

We only consider representations over $\mathbb{C}$ in this paper. A G-representation is a pair (U, ρ) where U is a finite dimensional vector space and $\rho : G \to \mathrm{End}(U)$ is a group homomorphism. This is equivalent to an action of G on the vector space U by linear transformations. We will sometimes omit the homomorphism and say that U is a G-representation. For $u \in U$ we will usually write $g \cdot u$ or even gu instead of $\rho(g)(u)$. Let U and V be two G-representations. We say that U is *isomorphic* to V (and write $U \cong V$) if there is a vector space isomorphism $T : U \to V$ such that $T(g \cdot u) = g \cdot T(u)$ for every $g \in G$ and $u \in U$. The direct sum $U \oplus V$ of two G-representations is again a G-representation according to $g \cdot (u + v) = gu + gv$. A subvector space $V \subseteq U$ is called a *subrepresentation* if it is closed under the action of G, that is, $g \cdot v \in V$ for all $g \in G$ and $v \in V$. A non-zero G-representation U is called *irreducible* if its only subrepresentations are 0 and U. We denote the set of irreducible representations of G (up to isomorphism) by $\mathsf{Irr}\, G$. It is well known that every G-representation is a finite direct sum of irreducible representations and that the number of different irreducible G-representations (up to isomorphism) is the number of conjugacy classes of G. We denote the trivial representation of any group G by tr_G. Recall that if V is a G-representations, then $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ is also a G-representation with operation $(g \cdot \varphi)(v) = \varphi(g^{-1}v)$. Let U and V be G-representations. The inner tensor product $U \otimes V$ is again a G-representation with action defined by $g \cdot (u \otimes v) = gu \otimes gv$ and extending linearly. Now, assume that U_1 and U_2 are G_1 and G_2-representations respectively. The outer tensor product $U_1 \otimes U_2$ of U_1 and U_2 is the $(G_1 \times G_2)$-representation where $(g_1, g_2) \cdot (u_1 \otimes u_2) = (g_1 u_1) \otimes (g_2 u_2)$. Although the two types of tensor product can

be distinguished by the context we prefer using different notation for outer tensor product, denoting it by $\boxtimes$. Likewise, the simple tensors of $U \boxtimes V$ will by denoted by $u \boxtimes v$. It is well known that $\mathsf{Irr}(G_1 \times G_2) = \{U \boxtimes V \mid U \in \mathsf{Irr}\, G_1, V \in \mathsf{Irr}\, G_2\}$. Another simple observation will be important.

Lemma 2.3. *Let U_1 and V_1 (U_2 and V_2) be G_1 (respectively, G_2)-representations. Then*

$$(U_1 \boxtimes U_2) \otimes (V_1 \boxtimes V_2) \cong (U_1 \otimes V_1) \boxtimes (U_2 \otimes V_2)$$

as $(G_1 \times G_2)$-representations.

Proof. Define $T : (U_1 \boxtimes U_2) \otimes (V_1 \boxtimes V_2) \to (U_1 \otimes V_1) \boxtimes (U_2 \otimes V_2)$ by

$$T((u_1 \boxtimes u_2) \otimes (v_1 \boxtimes v_2)) = (u_1 \otimes v_1) \boxtimes (u_2 \otimes v_2)$$

which clearly extends to a vector space isomorphism and also

$$\begin{aligned} T((g_1, g_2) \cdot ((u_1 \boxtimes u_2) \otimes (v_1 \boxtimes v_2))) &= T((g_1 u_1 \boxtimes g_2 u_2) \otimes (g_1 v_1 \boxtimes g_2 v_2)) \\ &= (g_1 u_1 \otimes g_1 v_1) \boxtimes (g_2 u_2 \otimes g_2 v_2) \\ &= (g_1, g_2) \cdot T((u_1 \boxtimes u_2) \otimes (v_1 \boxtimes v_2)) \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

The *character* χ_U of the G-representation (U, ρ) is the function $\chi_U : G \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi_U(g) = \mathrm{trace}(\rho(g))$. Recall that the multiplicity $U \in \mathsf{Irr}\, G$ as an irreducible constituent in some G-representation V is given by the inner product

$$\langle \chi_U, \chi_V \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_U(g) \overline{\chi_V(g)}.$$

Recall also that $\chi_{V^*}(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$ and $\chi_{U \boxtimes V}((g_1, g_2)) = \chi_U(g_1)\chi_V(g_2)$. In order to simplify notation, we will usually omit the χ and write U also for the character of U. Hence the above inner product will be written as

$$\langle U, V \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} U(g) \overline{V(g)}.$$

2.3 Restriction and induction

Let (U, ρ) be a G-representation and let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup. The *restriction* of (U, ρ) to H denoted $(\mathrm{Res}^G_H U, \mathrm{Res}^G_H \rho)$ is an H-representation defined by

$$\mathrm{Res}^G_H \rho(h)(u) = \rho(h)(u)$$

that is, restricting the homomorphism to the subgroup H. Note that $\dim \mathrm{Res}^G_H U = \dim U$ and if U is an irreducible G-representation then $\mathrm{Res}^G_H U$ does not have to be an irreducible H-representation. Let (U, ρ) be an H-representation, the *induction* to G denoted $(\mathrm{Ind}^G_H U, \mathrm{Ind}^G_H \rho)$ is the tensor product

$$\mathrm{Ind}^G_H U = \mathbb{C}G \underset{\mathbb{C}H}{\otimes} U$$

where the G action is given by

$$g \cdot (s \otimes u) = (gs) \otimes u$$

where $s \in \mathbb{C}G$ and $u \in U$. However, we will usually use the following more concrete description. Choose $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_l\}$ to be representatives of the left cosets of H in G (where $l = [G : H]$). Note that any element $g \in G$ can be written in a unique way as $g = s_i h$ where $s_i \in S$ and $h \in H$. Every element of $\mathrm{Ind}^G_H U$ is a formal sum of the form

$$\alpha_1(s_1, u_1) + \ldots + \alpha_l(s_l, u_l)$$

where $u_i \in U$ and $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$. In other words, as a vector space $\mathrm{Ind}^G_H U$ is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} U$, that is, l copies of U. The action is defined on elements of the form (s_i, u) by

$$g \cdot (s_i, u) = (s_j, h \cdot u)$$

where s_j and h are unique such that $gs_i = s_j h$. The required action is given by extending linearly. Note that $\dim \mathrm{Ind}^G_H U = [G : H] \dim U$. It is important to mention that the representations $\mathrm{Ind}^G_H U$ and $\mathrm{Res}^G_H V$ depend not only on the groups G and H but also on the specific embedding of H into G. Hence we will have to give the specific embeddings when discussing these representations. Both induction and restriction are transitive and additive, that is, if $K \leq H \leq G$

then

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \mathrm{Ind}_K^H U \cong \mathrm{Ind}_K^G U, \quad \mathrm{Ind}_H^G (U \oplus V) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_H^G U \oplus \mathrm{Ind}_H^G V$$

and

$$\mathrm{Res}_K^H \mathrm{Res}_H^G U \cong \mathrm{Res}_K^G U, \quad \mathrm{Res}_H^G (U \oplus V) \cong \mathrm{Res}_H^G U \oplus \mathrm{Res}_H^G V.$$

For restriction this is a trivial statement and for induction the proof is [3, Propositions 1.1.10 and 1.1.11]. An important fact that relates induction to restriction is the following one (for proof see [3, Corollary 1.1.20]).

Theorem 2.4 (Frobenius reciprocity)**.** *Let $H \leq G$ and let U and V be G and H-representations respectively. Then the multiplicity of V in $\mathrm{Res}_H^G U$ equals the multiplicity of U in $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G V$.*

Using characters, Frobenius reciprocity can be written as the following equality

$$\langle \mathrm{Ind}_H^G V, U \rangle = \langle V, \mathrm{Res}_H^G U \rangle.$$

2.4 Representations of the symmetric group

We will recall some elementary facts regarding the representation theory of the symmetric group. More details can be found in [6, 12]. Recall that an *integer composition* of n is a tuple $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k]$ of non-negative integers such that $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = n$ while an *integer partition of n* (denoted $\lambda \vdash n$) is an integer composition such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_k > 0$. From now on, when dealing with a partition λ we will write its elements in superscript $\lambda = [\lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^k]$ because we want to reserve the subscript for multipartitions. Note that 0 has one partition, namely the empty partition, denoted by $\varnothing$. We can associate to any partition λ a graphical description called a *Young diagram*, which is a table with λ^i boxes in its i-th row. For instance, the Young diagram associated to the partition $[3, 3, 2, 1]$ of 9 is:



We will identify the two notions and regard integer partition and Young diagram as synonyms. It is well known that irreducible representations of S_n are indexed by integer partitions of n. We denote the irreducible representation associated to the partition λ (also called its *Specht module*) by S^λ. Explicit description of S^λ can be found in [12, Section 2.3]. It will be often convenient to draw the diagram λ instead of writing S^λ. For instance we may write



instead of: $S^\lambda \oplus S^\delta$ for partitions $\lambda = [3]$ and $\delta = [2,1]$.

We will now describe several branching rules for S_n. Here the advantage of using Young diagrams becomes clear. Recall that we can think of S_n as the group of all permutations of $\{1,\dots,n+1\}$ that leave $n+1$ fixed. Hence, we can view S_n as a subgroup of S_{n+1}. We call this the standard embedding of S_n into S_{n+1}. In this case the branching rules are well known and very natural (proof can be found in [12, Section 2.8]).

Theorem 2.5 (Classical branching rules)**.** *Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be a Young diagram.*

1. Denote by $Y^+(\lambda)$ the set of Young diagrams obtained from λ by adding one box. Then
$$\mathrm{Ind}_{S_n}^{S_{n+1}} S^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y^+(\lambda)} S^\gamma.$$
2. Similarly, denote by $Y^-(\lambda)$ the set of Young diagrams obtained from λ by removing one box. Then
$$\mathrm{Res}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} S^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y^-(\lambda)} S^\gamma.$$

Example 2.6. Let $\lambda =$ then

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{S_3}^{S_4} S^\lambda =$$ 

and

$$\mathrm{Res}_{S_2}^{S_3} S^\lambda =$$  .

We now turn our attention to the Littlewood-Richardson branching rule. If we identify S_k (S_r) with the group of all permutations of $\{1,\dots,k+r\}$ that leave $\{k+1,\dots,k+r\}$ (respectively, $\{1,\dots,k\}$) fixed we can view $S_k \times S_r$ as a subgroup of S_{k+r}. Given $\lambda \vdash k$ and $\delta \vdash r$ the Littlewood–Richardson rule gives the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ind}_{S_k \times S_r}^{S_{k+r}}(S^\lambda \boxtimes S^\delta)$ into irreducible S_{k+r}-representations.

In other words, if we write this decomposition as

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{S_k \times S_r}^{S_{k+r}}(S^\lambda \boxtimes S^\delta) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \vdash (k+r)} c_{\lambda,\delta}^\gamma S^\gamma$$

it gives a combinatorial interpretation for the coefficients $c_{\lambda,\delta}^\gamma$ (called the Littlewood–Richardson coefficients). The aim of this paper is to generalize the classical branching rules and the Littlewood-Richardson rule to the group $F \wr S_n$ for any finite group F. Although the details of the Littlewood-Richardson rule for S_n will not be essential in the sequel, we give them here for the sake of completeness. For this we have to introduce some more notions. First we generalize the notion of a Young diagram. For $k \leq n$, let $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \cdots, \lambda^r) \vdash k$ and $\gamma = (\gamma^1, \cdots, \gamma^s) \vdash n$ be partitions such that $\lambda^i \leq \gamma^i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. The *skew diagram* γ/λ is the diagram obtained by erasing the diagram λ from the diagram γ. For instance if $\lambda = [2,1]$ and $\gamma = [4,3,1]$ then γ/λ is the skew diagram



A skew tableau is a skew diagram whose boxes are filled with numbers. We call the original diagram the *shape* of the tableau. Let t be a skew tableau with n boxes such that the number of boxes with entry i is δ^i. The *content* of t is the composition $\delta = [\delta^1, \ldots, \delta^l]$. We say that a skew tableau is semi-standard if its columns are increasing and its rows are non-decreasing. For instance



(2.1)

is a semi-standard skew tableau of shape $[4,3,1]/[2,1]$ with content $[2,2,1]$. The *row word* of a skew tableau t is the string of numbers obtained by reading the entries of t from right to left and top to bottom. For instance, the row word of tableau 2.1 is 11322. A string of numbers is called a lattice permutation if for every prefix of this string and for every number i, there are no less occurrences of i than occurrences of $i+1$. For instance, the string 11322 is not a lattice permutation since the prefix 113 contains one 3 and no 2's. Now we can state the Littlewood-Richardson rule (for proof see [6, Theorem 2.8.13]).

Theorem 2.7. *The Littlewood-Richardson coefficient $c_{\lambda,\delta}^\gamma$ is the number of*

semi-standard skew tableaux of shape γ/λ with content δ whose row word is a lattice permutation.

Example 2.8. If $\lambda = [2,1]$, $\delta = [3,2]$ and $\gamma = [4,3,1]$ then $c^{\gamma}_{\lambda,\delta} = 2$ since there are two skew tableaux with the required properties. These are:



Remark 2.9. Note that for many values of γ we have $c^{\gamma}_{\lambda,\delta} = 0$, that is, S^{γ} is not an irreducible constituent of $\mathrm{Ind}_{S_k \times S_r}^{S_{k+r}}(S^{\lambda} \boxtimes S^{\delta})$. For instance, this happens for every γ such that $\gamma^i < \lambda^i$ for some i.

Remark 2.10. Note that the classical branching rule for induction can be deduced from the Littlewood-Richardson rule if we set $r = 1$.

3 Representation theory of $F \wr S_n$

The goal of this section is to describe the irreducible representations of $F \wr S_n$. We follow the approach of [3], but we introduce different notation that will be more convenient to our purpose. We also prove some technical lemmas that will be of later use.

3.1 Inflation

Definition 3.1. Let G be a finite group and let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup. Let (U, ρ) be a representation of G/N. We denote by $(\overline{U}, \overline{\rho})$ the G-representation defined as follows. As a vector space, $\overline{U} = U$, and the G-action is

$$\overline{\rho}(g)(u) = \rho(gN)(u) \quad \forall u \in U.$$

Following [3] we call $\overline{U}$ the *inflation* of U.

Note that $\dim \overline{U} = \dim U$ and if U is an irreducible G/N-representation then $\overline{U}$ is an irreducible G-representation as well.

The specific case we will be interested in is the following. If F and G are finite groups, then $F^X \trianglelefteq F \wr_X G$ and $(F \wr_X G)/F^X \cong G$ so any G-representation U can be inflated into an $(F \wr_X G)$-representation with action

$$(f, g) \cdot u = gu.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be groups acting on the disjoint sets X_1 and X_2 respectively and let U_1 and U_2 be G_1 and G_2-representations. Note that $\overline{U_i}$ is an $(F \wr_{X_i} G_i)$-representation and $\overline{U_1 \boxtimes U_2}$ is an $(F \wr_X (G_1 \times G_2))$-representation (where $X = X_1 \dot\cup X_2$). Then*

$$\overline{U_1} \boxtimes \overline{U_2} \cong \overline{U_1 \boxtimes U_2}$$

as $(F \wr_{X_1} G_1) \times (F \wr_{X_2} G_2) \cong F \wr_X (G_1 \times G_2)$-representations.

Proof. As vector spaces both representations are spanned by elements of the form $u_1 \boxtimes u_2$ for $u_i \in U_i$. An element $((f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)) \in F \wr_{X_1} G_1 \times F \wr_{X_2} G_2$ acts in both representations by

$$((f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)) \cdot (u_1 \boxtimes u_2) = g_1 u_1 \boxtimes g_2 u_2.$$

□

3.2 Conjugacy and extensions

Let $N \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup. Then we can define an action of G on the set $\mathsf{Irr}\, N$. For every $(U, \rho) \in \mathsf{Irr}\, N$ we define $g \cdot (U, \rho) = ({}^g U, {}^g \rho)$ where

$${}^g U = U, \quad {}^g \rho(n) = \rho(g^{-1} n g)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $n \in N$.

In the case of $F^X \trianglelefteq F \wr G$ this action has a simple form. Recall that the set of irreducible representations of F^X is

$$\mathsf{Irr}\, F^X = \{(\boxtimes_{x \in X} U_x, \boxtimes_{x \in X} \rho_x) \mid (U_x, \rho_x) \in \mathsf{Irr}\, F\}.$$

Lemma 3.3 ([3, Lemma 2.4.1])**.** *Denote $(U, \rho) = (\boxtimes_{x \in X} U_x, \boxtimes_{x \in X} \rho_x) \in \mathsf{Irr}\, F^X$ then*

$$(f, g) \cdot U = \boxtimes_{x \in X} U_{g^{-1} x}$$

for all $(f, g) \in F \wr G$.

Definition 3.4. Assume that $H \leq G$ satisfies that $(U_{hx}, \rho_{hx}) \cong (U_x, \rho_x)$, for every $x \in X$ and $h \in H$. In other words, $F \wr H$ is a subgroup of the stabilizer of

U. Define an $(F \wr H)$-representation $(\mathrm{Ex}_H\, U, \mathrm{Ex}_H\, \rho)$ in the following way. As a vector space, $\mathrm{Ex}_H\, U = U$ and the group action is:

$$\mathrm{Ex}_H\, \rho(f,h)(\boxtimes_{x\in X} u_x) = \boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_{h^{-1}x}(f(x))(u_{h^{-1}x}) = \boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_x(f(x))(u_{h^{-1}x}).$$

$(\mathrm{Ex}_H\, U, \mathrm{Ex}_H\, \rho)$ is called the *extension of U with respect to H*. When no ambiguity arises we write $(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{\rho})$ instead of $(\mathrm{Ex}_H\, U, \mathrm{Ex}_H\, \rho)$.

Lemma 3.5. *$(\widetilde{U}, \widetilde{\rho})$ is indeed an $(F \wr H)$-representation.*

Proof. We remark that this proof is essentially [3, Lemma 2.4.3]. Given (f_1, h_1),$(f_2, h_2) \in F \wr H$ what we need to prove is:

$$\widetilde{\rho}((f_1,h_1)\cdot(f_2,h_2))(\boxtimes_{x\in X} u_x) = \widetilde{\rho}((f_1,h_1))(\widetilde{\rho}((f_2,h_2))(\boxtimes_{x\in X} u_x)). \tag{3.1}$$

The left hand side of Equation (3.1) is:

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\rho}((f_1,h_1)\cdot(f_2,h_2))(\boxtimes_{x\in X} u_x) &= \widetilde{\rho}((f_1(h_1 * f_2), h_1h_2))(\boxtimes_{x\in X} u_x) = \\ &= \boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}x}((f_1(h_1 * f_2))(x))u_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}x}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho_{hx} = \rho_x$ for every $h \in H$ this equals

$$\boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_x((f_1(h_1 * f_2))(x))u_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}x} = \boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_x(f_1(x)f_2(h_1^{-1}x))u_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}x}.$$

The right hand side of Equation (3.1) is

$$\widetilde{\rho}((f_1,h_1))(\tilde{\rho}((f_2,h_2))(\boxtimes_{x\in X} u_x)) = \widetilde{\rho}((f_1,h_1))(\boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_{h_2^{-1}x}(f_2(x))(u_{h_2^{-1}x})).$$

Again, since $\rho_{h_2^{-1}x} = \rho_x$ the last expression equals

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\rho}((f_1,h_1))(\boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_x(f_2(x))(u_{h_2^{-1}x})) &= \boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_{h_1^{-1}x}(f_1(x))(\rho_{h_1^{-1}x}(f_2(h_1^{-1}x))(u_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}x})) \\ &= \boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_x(f_1(x))(\rho_x(f_2(h_1^{-1}x))(u_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}x})) \\ &= \boxtimes_{x\in X} \rho_x(f_1(x)f_2(h_1^{-1}x))u_{h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}x}.\end{aligned}$$

So we get the desired equality. □

Remark 3.6. Let $H \leq K \leq G$ and let $U = \boxtimes_{x \in X} U_x$ be an F^X-representation such that $F \wr K$ is a subgroup of the stabilizer of U. Note that

$$\mathrm{Ex}_H U = \mathrm{Res}^{F \wr K}_{F \wr H} \mathrm{Ex}_K U.$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let G_1 and G_2 be groups acting on disjoint sets X and Y respectively. Let $U = \boxtimes_{x \in X} U_x$ and $V = \boxtimes_{y \in Y} U_y$ be F^X and F^Y-representations respectively. Assume that $H_1 \leq G_1$, $H_2 \leq G_2$ are subgroups such that $F \wr H_1$ and $F \wr H_2$ are subgroups of the stabilizers of U and V respectively. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ex}_{H_1} U \boxtimes \mathrm{Ex}_{H_2} V \cong \mathrm{Ex}_{H_1 \times H_2}(U \boxtimes V)$$

as $F \wr_{X \dot\cup Y} (H_1 \times H_2) \cong (F \wr_X H_1) \times (F \wr_Y H_2)$-representations.

Proof. Both vector spaces are spanned by elements of the form $(\boxtimes_{x \in X} u_x) \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{y \in Y} u_y)$ and in both cases the group action is

$$((f_1, h_1), (f_2, h_2)) \cdot ((\boxtimes_{x \in X} u_x) \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{y \in Y} u_y)) = (\boxtimes_{x \in X} f_1(x) \cdot u_{h_1^{-1} x}) \boxtimes (\boxtimes_{y \in Y} f_2(y) \cdot u_{h_2^{-1} y}).$$

□

3.3 Irreducible representations of $F \wr S_n$

We now return to the specific case of $F \wr S_n$. From now on, fix some indexing for the set of irreducible representation of F, say $\mathsf{Irr}\, F = \{U_1, \ldots, U_l\}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that U_1 is the trivial representation of F. Let $1 \leq i_j \leq l$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and let

$$(U, \rho) = (\boxtimes_{j=1}^{n} U_{i_j}, \boxtimes_{x=1}^{n} \rho_{i_j})$$

be an irreducible F^n-representation. We define its *type* to be the integer composition

$$\mathrm{type}(U) = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$$

such that n_i is the number of $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $U_{i_j} \cong U_i$. Clearly $\sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i = n$ and $n_i \geq 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l$. It is clear that two irreducible representations are in the same orbit of conjugation if and only if they have the

same type. Moreover, the stabilizer of U is isomorphic to $F \wr (S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_l})$. Given a specific composition $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$ define

$$U_{\mathbf{n}} = U_1^{\boxtimes n_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes U_l^{\boxtimes n_l}$$

that is, the first n_1 representations in the product are U_1, the next n_2 representations are U_2 etc. Clearly, the type of $U_{\mathbf{n}}$ is $\mathbf{n}$ and the set $\{U_{\mathbf{n}} \mid \mathbf{n}$ is an integer composition of $n\}$ serve as a set of representatives for the orbits of conjugation. A tuple $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ such that $\lambda_i \vdash n_i$ for every i is called a *multipartition* of n with l components. We will also call it a multipartition of the composition $\mathbf{n}$ and denote this by $\Lambda \Vdash \mathbf{n}$. We denote by S^{Λ} the (irreducible) $S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_l}$-representation $S^{\Lambda} = S^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes S^{\lambda_l}$. Finally we can define:

Definition 3.8. Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$ be an integer composition of n and let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ be a multipartition of $\mathbf{n}$. Denote by $\Phi_{\Lambda} = \Phi_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)}$ the $F \wr S_n$ representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F \wr (S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_l})}^{F \wr S_n} (\widetilde{U_{\mathbf{n}}} \otimes \overline{S^{\Lambda}}).$$

Theorem 3.9. *[3, Theorem 2.6.1] The set*

$$\{\Phi_{\Lambda} \mid \mathbf{n} \textit{ is some integer composition of } n \textit{ and } \Lambda \textit{ is a multipartition of } \mathbf{n}\}$$

is a complete list of the irreducible representations of $F \wr S_n$. Moreover, $\Phi_{\Lambda} \cong \Phi_{\Lambda'}$ if and only if $\Lambda = \Lambda'$.

We define a *multi-Young diagram* to be a tuple of Young diagrams. As we identify partitions and Young diagrams, we also identify multipartitions and multi-Young diagrams. Hence, multi-Young diagrams (with l components) index the irreducible representations of $F \wr S_n$. For instance, if $\Lambda = ([2], [2,1], [1,1,1])$ then the irreducible representation Φ_{Λ} of (say) $S_3 \wr S_8$ corresponds to the multi-Young diagram



Remark 3.10. Note that tr_F, the trivial representation of $F = F \wr S_1$, corresponds to the multi-Young diagram

$$(\square, \varnothing, \ldots, \varnothing).$$

Let λ be some partition of n. We set $\Phi^i_\lambda = \Phi_{(\varnothing,\ldots,\varnothing,\lambda,\varnothing,\ldots,\varnothing)}$ where the non-empty partition is in the i-th position. Note that

$$\Phi^i_\lambda = \widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes n}} \otimes \overline{S^\lambda}.$$

Remark 3.11. Since $\{U_1, \ldots, U_l\}$ are irreducible representations of $F = F \wr S_1$ then U_i can be also written as $\Phi^i_{([1])}$.

A key observation is the following one.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_l)$ be an integer composition of n and let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ be a multipartition of $\mathbf{n}$. The following isomorphism holds:*

$$\Phi_\Lambda \cong \mathrm{Ind}^{F\wr S_n}_{F\wr S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{n_l}}(\Phi^1_{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Phi^l_{\lambda_l}).$$

Proof. By definition

$$\Phi_\Lambda = \mathrm{Ind}^{F\wr S_n}_{F\wr(S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times S_{n_l})}(\widetilde{U_{\mathbf{n}}} \otimes \overline{S^\Lambda})$$

if we write this more explicitly we get

$$\Phi_\Lambda = \mathrm{Ind}^{F\wr S_n}_{F\wr S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{n_l}}(\widetilde{U_1^{\boxtimes n_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes U_l^{\boxtimes n_l}}) \otimes (\overline{S^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes S^{\lambda_l}})$$

Using Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.7 this equals:

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{F\wr S_n}_{F\wr S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{n_l}}(\widetilde{U_1^{\boxtimes n_1}} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \widetilde{U_l^{\boxtimes n_l}}) \otimes (\overline{S^{\lambda_1}} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \overline{S^{\lambda_l}}).$$

Now, using Lemma 2.3 this equals:

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{F\wr S_n}_{F\wr S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{n_l}}(\widetilde{U_1^{\boxtimes n_1}} \otimes \overline{S^{\lambda_1}}) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes (\widetilde{U_l^{\boxtimes n_l}} \otimes \overline{S^{\lambda_l}})$$

which is precisely

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{F\wr S_n}_{F\wr S_{n_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{n_l}}(\Phi^1_{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Phi^l_{\lambda_l})$$

as required. ∎

4 Littlewood-Richardson rule for $F \wr S_n$

In this section we generalize the standard Littlewood-Richardson rule for S_n to the case of $F \wr S_n$. As mentioned above this is a new proof for [5, Theorem 4.7]. Given two integers k and r and two integer compositions

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_l), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l} k_i = k$$

$$\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_l), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{l} r_i = r$$

let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ and $\Delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_l)$ be multipartitions of $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ respectively. We want to find the decomposition of

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F \wr S_k \times F \wr S_r}^{F \wr S_{k+r}} (\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes \Phi_\Delta)$$

into irreducible representations. In other words, if we write

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F \wr S_k \times F \wr S_r}^{F \wr S_{k+r}} (\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes \Phi_\Delta) = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{n}} \bigoplus_{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{n}} C_{\Lambda,\Delta}^{\Gamma} \Phi_\Gamma$$

where the outer sum is over all integer compositions $\mathbf{n}$ of $k+r$, we want to find the coefficients $C_{\Lambda,\Delta}^{\Gamma}$. We start with a specific case.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\lambda \vdash k$ and $\delta \vdash r$ then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F \wr S_k \times F \wr S_r}^{F \wr S_{k+r}} (\Phi_\lambda^i \boxtimes \Phi_\delta^i) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \vdash (k+r)} c_{\lambda,\delta}^{\gamma} \Phi_\gamma^i$$

where $c_{\lambda,\delta}^{\gamma}$ is the Littlewood-Richardson coefficient.

Before proving this results we need some lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup of G. Let U be an H-representation then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F \wr_X H}^{F \wr_X G} \overline{U} \cong \overline{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G U}$$

as $(F \wr_X G)$-representations.

Proof. Let $s_1, \ldots, s_l$ be representatives of the H cosets in G. Note that $(\mathbf{1}_F, s_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$ are representatives for the $F \wr_X H$ cosets in $F \wr_X G$ where $\mathbf{1}_F$ is

the constant function $\mathbf{1}_F(x) = 1_F$. Now, define

$$T : \overline{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G U} \to \mathrm{Ind}_{F \wr_X H}^{F \wr_X G} \overline{U}$$

by

$$T((s_i, u)) = ((\mathbf{1}_F, s_i), u)$$

and extending linearly. Clearly, T is a vector space isomorphism. Now, given $(f, g) \in F \wr_X G$

$$\begin{aligned} T((f,g) \cdot (s_i, u)) &= T(g(s_i, u)) \\ &= T((s_j, hu)) \\ &= ((\mathbf{1}_F, s_j), hu) \end{aligned}$$

assuming that $gs_i = s_j h$ for $h \in H$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (f,g)(\mathbf{1}_F, s_i) = (f(g * \mathbf{1}_F), gs_i) = (f\mathbf{1}_F, gs_i) = \\ = (f, s_j h) = (\mathbf{1}_F, s_j)(s_j^{-1} * f, h) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} (f,g) \cdot T((s_i, u)) &= (f,g) \cdot ((\mathbf{1}_F, s_i), u) \\ &= ((\mathbf{1}_F, s_j), (s_j^{-1} * f, h) \cdot u) \\ &= ((\mathbf{1}_F, s_j), hu) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$T((f,g) \cdot (s_i, u)) = (f,g) \cdot T((s_i, u))$$

as required. □

Lemma 4.3 ([3, Proposition 1.1.15])**.** *Assume $H \leq G$ and let U (V) be a G (respectively H)-representation. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\mathrm{Res}_H^G(U) \otimes V) \cong U \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_H^G V.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let V be an $(F \wr_X G)$-representation. Let $H \leq G$ and let W be some H-representation.*

Then

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr_X H}^{F\wr_X G}(\mathrm{Res}_{F\wr_X H}^{F\wr_X G}(V)\otimes\overline{W})\cong V\otimes\overline{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G W}.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.2. □

Proof of Proposition 4.1. According to the definition

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\Phi_\lambda^i\boxtimes\Phi_\delta^i)=\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes k}}\otimes\overline{S^\lambda})\boxtimes(\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes r}}\otimes\overline{S^\delta})).$$

Using Lemma 2.3 this equals

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes k}}\boxtimes\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes r}})\otimes(\overline{S^\lambda}\boxtimes\overline{S^\delta}))$$

and by Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.7 this equals

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)}})\otimes(\overline{S^\lambda\boxtimes S^\delta})).$$

If we use more precise notation, this is actually

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\mathrm{Ex}_{S_k\times S_r}U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)})\otimes(\overline{S^\lambda\boxtimes S^\delta}))$$

but according to Remark 3.6 this equals

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\mathrm{Res}_{F\wr(S_k\times S_r)}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}\mathrm{Ex}_{S_{k+r}}U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)})\otimes(\overline{S^\lambda\boxtimes S^\delta})).$$

Returning to imprecise notation, this is

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\mathrm{Res}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)}}))\otimes(\overline{S^\lambda\boxtimes S^\delta}))$$

where now

$$\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)}}$$

is an $(F\wr S_{k+r})$-representation.

By Lemma 4.4 this equals

$$\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)}}\otimes\overline{\mathrm{Ind}_{S_k\times S_r}^{S_{k+r}}(S^\lambda\boxtimes S^\delta)}$$

but according to the standard Littlewood-Richardson rule

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{S_k\times S_r}^{S_{k+r}}(S^\lambda \boxtimes S^\delta) = \sum_{\gamma\vdash(k+r)} c_{\lambda,\delta}^{\gamma} S^\gamma.$$

Hence our representation equals

$$\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)}} \otimes \overline{\sum_{\gamma\vdash(k+r)} c_{\lambda,\delta}^{\gamma} S^\gamma} = \sum_{\gamma\vdash(k+r)} c_{\lambda,\delta}^{\gamma} (\widetilde{U_i^{\boxtimes(k+r)}} \otimes \overline{S^\gamma}) = \sum_{\gamma\vdash(k+r)} c_{\lambda,\delta}^{\gamma} \Phi_\gamma^i$$

as required. □

Now we turn to the general case. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_l)$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_l)$ be integers compositions of k and r respectively. We denote $\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{r} = (k_1 + r_1, \ldots, k_l + r_l)$, an integer composition of $k + r$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) \Vdash \mathbf{k}$ and $\Delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_l) \Vdash \mathbf{r}$ then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k \times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes \Phi_\Delta) = \bigoplus_{\Gamma\Vdash(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{r})} C_{\Lambda,\Delta}^{\Gamma} \Phi_\Gamma$$

where

$$C_{\Lambda,\Delta}^{\Gamma} = \prod_{i=1}^{l} c_{\lambda_i,\delta_i}^{\gamma_i}.$$

Remark 4.6. Note that $\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{r}$ is the only composition of $k+r$ occurring in this summation.

Before proving this result we need another technical lemma about induction.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume $H_1 \leq G_1$ ($H_2 \leq G_2$) and let U_1 (respectively, U_2) be a representation of H_1 (respectively, H_2). Then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{H_1\times H_2}^{G_1\times G_2}(U_1 \boxtimes U_2) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{H_1}^{G_1} U_1 \boxtimes \mathrm{Ind}_{H_2}^{G_2} U_2.$$

Proof. It is more convenient here to use the tensor product definition of induced representation. Define a vector space isomorphism $T : \mathrm{Ind}_{H_1\times H_2}^{G_1\times G_2}(U_1 \boxtimes U_2) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{H_1}^{G_1} U_1 \boxtimes \mathrm{Ind}_{H_2}^{G_2} U_2$ by

$$T((s_1, s_2) \otimes (u_1 \boxtimes u_2)) = (s_1 \otimes u_1) \boxtimes (s_2 \otimes u_2)$$

and extending linearly. Now take some $(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} T((g_1,g_2)\cdot((s_1,s_2)\otimes(u_1\boxtimes u_2))) &= T((g_1s_1,g_2s_2)\otimes(u_1\boxtimes u_2)) \\ &= (g_1s_1\otimes u_1)\boxtimes(g_2s_2\otimes u_2) \\ &= (g_1\cdot(s_1\otimes u_1))\boxtimes(g_2\cdot(s_2\otimes u_2)) \\ &= (g_1,g_2)\cdot T((s_1,s_2)\otimes(u_1\boxtimes u_2)). \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Proof of Theorem 4.5. According to Proposition 3.12, the representation

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\Phi_\Lambda\boxtimes\Phi_\Delta)$$

equals

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l}}^{F\wr S_k}(\Phi_{\lambda_1}^1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\Phi_{\lambda_l}^l)\boxtimes\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{r_l}}^{F\wr S_r}(\Phi_{\delta_1}^1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_l}^l)).$$

Using Lemma 4.7 this equals

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l}\times F\wr S_{r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{r_l}}^{F\wr S_k\times F\wr S_r}(\Phi_{\lambda_1}^1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\Phi_{\lambda_l}^l\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_1}^1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_l}^l))$$

which, by transitivity of induction, equals

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l}\times F\wr S_{r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{r_l}}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\Phi_{\lambda_1}^1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\Phi_{\lambda_l}^l\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_1}^1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_l}^l).$$

Rearranging we get

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1}\times F\wr S_{r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l}\times F\wr S_{r_l}}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\Phi_{\lambda_1}^1\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_1}^1)\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes(\Phi_{\lambda_l}^l\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_l}^l)).$$

Again using transitivity we can write this as

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1+r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l+r_l}}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1}\times F\wr S_{r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l}\times F\wr S_{r_l}}^{F\wr S_{k_1+r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l+r_l}}((\Phi_{\lambda_1}^1\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_1}^1)\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes(\Phi_{\lambda_l}^l\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_l}^l))$$

and using Lemma 4.7 this equals

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1+r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l+r_l}}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1}\times F\wr S_{r_1}}^{F\wr S_{k_1+r_1}}(\Phi_{\lambda_1}^1\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_1}^1)\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_l}\times F\wr S_{r_l}}^{F\wr S_{kl+r_l}}(\Phi_{\lambda_l}^l\boxtimes\Phi_{\delta_l}^l)).$$

According to Proposition 4.1 we get

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1+r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l+r_l}}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}((\bigoplus_{\gamma_1\vdash(k_1+r_1)} c_{\lambda_1,\delta_1}^{\gamma_1}\Phi_{\gamma_1}^1)\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes(\bigoplus_{\gamma_l\vdash(k_l+r_l)} c_{\lambda_l,\delta_l}^{\gamma_l}\Phi_{\gamma_l}^l))$$

which equals

$$\bigoplus_{\gamma_1\vdash(k_1+r_1)}\cdots\bigoplus_{\gamma_l\vdash(k_l+r_l)}(\prod_{i=1}^{l} c_{\lambda_i,\delta_i}^{\gamma_i}\,\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_{k_1+r_1}\times\cdots\times F\wr S_{k_l+r_l}}^{F\wr S_{k+r}}(\Phi_{\gamma_1}^1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\Phi_{\gamma_l}^1))$$

which, according to Proposition 3.12, is precisely

$$\bigoplus_{\Gamma\Vdash\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{r}}(\prod_{i=1}^{l} c_{\lambda_i,\delta_i}^{\gamma_i})\Phi_\Gamma$$

as required. □

5 Classical branching rules for $F\wr S_n$

In this section we retrieve Pushkarev's result of "classical" branching rules for $F\wr S_n$ [10, Theorem 10]. Let $\mathbf{n}=(n_1,\ldots,n_r)$ be an integer composition of n and let $\Lambda=(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_l)\Vdash\mathbf{n}$ be a multipartition. We want to find the decomposition into irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_n}^{F\wr S_{n+1}}\Phi_\Lambda$ and $\mathrm{Res}_{F\wr S_{n-1}}^{F\wr S_n}\Phi_\Lambda$. This is relatively easy using the results of the previous section. We start with induction.

Theorem 5.1. *With notation as above*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{F\wr S_n}^{F\wr S_{n+1}}\Phi_\Lambda=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l}(\dim U_i\bigoplus_{\gamma\in Y^+(\lambda_i)}\Phi_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\gamma,\ldots,\lambda_l)})$$

where γ is in the i-th position of $(\lambda_1,\ldots,\gamma,\ldots,\lambda_l)$.

For the proof of Theorem 5.1 we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let U be an H-representation and let K be some group, then*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_H^{K\times H}U\cong\mathbb{C}K\boxtimes U.$$

Proof. Clearly $\{(k,1)\mid k\in K\}$ are representatives of the $H\cong\{1_K\}\times H$ cosets

in $K \times H$. Define $T : \operatorname{Ind}_H^{K\times H} U \to \mathbb{C}K \boxtimes U$ by

$$T(((k,1),u)) = k \boxtimes u$$

which is clearly a vector space isomorphism and note that

$$\begin{aligned} T((k',h') \cdot ((k,1),u)) &= T(((k'k,1),h'u)) \\ &= k'k \boxtimes h'u \\ &= (k',h') \cdot (k \boxtimes u) \\ &= (k',h') \cdot T(((k,1),u)) \end{aligned}$$

so T is an isomorphism of $(K \times H)$-representations. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Noting that $F \wr_{n+1} S_n = F \wr S_n \times F = F \wr S_n \times F \wr S_1$ and by transitivity of induction

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{F\wr S_n}^{F\wr S_{n+1}} \Phi_\Lambda = \operatorname{Ind}_{F\wr S_n \times F\wr S_1}^{F\wr S_{n+1}} \operatorname{Ind}_{F\wr S_n}^{F\wr S_n \times F} \Phi_\Lambda.$$

According to Lemma 5.2 this equals

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{F\wr S_n \times F\wr S_1}^{F\wr S_{n+1}} \Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes \mathbb{C}F.$$

It is well-known that the decomposition of $\mathbb{C}F$ is

$$\mathbb{C}F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} (\dim U_i \cdot U_i)$$

so we obtain

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{F\wr S_n \times F\wr S_1}^{F\wr S_{n+1}} (\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} \dim U_i \cdot U_i)) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} (\dim U_i \operatorname{Ind}_{F\wr S_n \times F\wr S_1}^{F\wr S_{n+1}} (\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes U_i)).$$

But $U_i = \Phi^i_{([1])}$ (see Remark 3.11) so we can write this as

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} (\dim U_i \operatorname{Ind}_{F\wr S_n \times F\wr S_1}^{F\wr S_{n+1}} (\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes \Phi^i_{([1])})).$$

Using Theorem 4.5 and Remark 2.10 this is precisely the required result. □

Using Frobenius reciprocity we have the following corollary for restriction.

Corollary 5.3. *With notation as above*

$$\mathrm{Res}^{F\wr S_n}_{F\wr S_{n-1}} \Phi_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} (\dim U_i \bigoplus_{\gamma \in Y^-(\lambda_i)} \Phi_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\gamma,\ldots,\lambda_l)})$$

where γ is in the i-th position of $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \gamma, \ldots, \lambda_l)$.

Example 5.4. Let Λ be the multipartition associated to the multi-Young diagram



so Φ_Λ is an irreducible representation of $S_3 \wr S_8$. Assuming we have indexed $\mathsf{Irr}\, S_3$ such that U_1 is the trivial representation, U_2 is the standard representation and U_3 is the alternating representation then

$$\mathrm{Ind}^{S_3\wr S_9}_{S_3\wr S_8} \Phi_\Lambda$$

is associated to



6 Application: The quiver of the category algebra $\mathbb{C}(F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n)$

Denote by $\mathbf{FI}_n$ the category of all injective functions between subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. In this section we apply the Littlewood-Richardson rule for computing the ordinary quiver of the category algebra of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$, the wreath product of a finite group F with $\mathbf{FI}_n$. In the next two sections we give some preliminary background on the wreath product of a group with a category and on quivers. In section 6.3 we give the description of the quiver.

6.1 The wreath product of a group with a category

All categories in this paper are finite. Hence we can regard a category $\mathcal{C}$ as a set of objects, denoted $\mathcal{C}^0$, and a set of morphisms, denoted $\mathcal{C}^1$. If $a, b \in \mathcal{C}^0$ then $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$ is the set of morphisms from a to b. Let $g \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ and $g' \in \mathcal{C}(c, d)$ be two morphisms. Recall that the composition $g' \cdot g$ is defined if and only if $b = c$ and we denote this fact by by $\exists g' \cdot g$. A category $\mathcal{D}$ is called a *subcategory* of $\mathcal{C}$ if it obtained from $\mathcal{C}$ by removing objects and morphisms. $\mathcal{D}$ is a *full subcategory* if $\mathcal{D}(a, b) = \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ for every $a, b \in \mathcal{D}^0$. Let F be a finite group, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a finite category and let $H : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{Set}$ be a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of finite sets. Define a new category $\mathcal{D}$ in the following way. The set of objects is the same as the set of objects of $\mathcal{C}$, that is, $\mathcal{D}^0 = \mathcal{C}^0$. Given two objects $a, b \in \mathcal{D}^0$, the hom-set $\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ is $\{(f, g) \mid f \in F^{H(a)}, g \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)\}$ where $F^{H(a)}$ is the set of all functions $f : H(a) \to F$. So we can write a specific morphism as (f, g). Now, given two morphisms $(f, g) \in \mathcal{D}(a, b)$ and $(f', g') \in \mathcal{D}(b, c)$ the composition is

$$(f', g') \cdot (f, g) = ((f'(H(g))) \cdot f, g'g)$$

where $\cdot$ is componentwise multiplication of functions in $F^{H(a)}$.

Definition 6.1. The category $\mathcal{D}$ defined above is called the *wreath product* of F and $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to H and it is denoted by $F \wr_H \mathcal{C}$.

Since monoids are categories with one object, Definition 6.1 is also a definition for the wreath product of a group G with a monoid M. In this case the functor F is just an action of M on the left of some set X. Hence M acts on the right of F^X in the following way. Given $g \in M$ and $f \in F^X$ the function $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = f(g \cdot x).$$

The wreath product $F \wr_X M$ is then just the right semidirect product $F^X \rtimes M$.

Remark 6.2. One may note that if M is a group then Definition 6.1 does not coincide with Definition 2.1. However, we will immediately prove that the two ways to define a wreath product of groups are isomorphic. We have to use different definition for wreath product in this section because Definition 2.1 does not generalize well to monoids and categories.

In the next lemma we denote by $F \mathrm{wr}_X G$ the wreath product of Definition 6.1 which is apriory different from $F \wr_X G$ of Definition 2.1.

Lemma 6.3. *Let F and G be finite groups such that G acts on the left X. Then*

$$F wr_X G \cong F \wr_X G.$$

Proof. In this proof we denote by $*_1(*_2)$ the left (right) action of G on F^X as in Definition 2.1 (respectively, Definition 6.1). Define $T : F \wr_X G \to F\mathrm{wr}_X G$ by

$$T(f, g) = (f *_2 g, g).$$

Clearly, T has an inverse

$$T^{-1}(f, g) = (f *_2 g^{-1}, g).$$

Moreover, note that

$$\begin{aligned} T((f, g) \cdot (f', g')) &= T(f \cdot (g *_1 f'), gg') = T(f \cdot (f' *_2 g^{-1}), gg') \\ &= ((f *_2 (gg')) \cdot (f' *_2 g'), gg') \end{aligned}$$

while

$$T((f, g)) \cdot T((f', g')) = (f *_2 g, g) \cdot (f' *_2 g', g') = ((f *_2 (gg')) \cdot (f' *_2 g'), gg')$$

so T is also a group homomorphism as required. □

6.2 The ordinary quiver of an EI-category algebra

Recall that a unital $\mathbb{C}$-*algebra* is a unital ring A that is also a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ such that $c(ab) = (ca)b = a(cb)$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a, b \in A$. The algebras that are of interest for us in this section are category algebras. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite category. The *category algebra* $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space with basis the morphisms of the category, that is, all formal linear combinations

$$\{c_1 g_1 + \ldots + c_k g_k \mid c_i \in \mathbb{C},\, g_i \in \mathcal{D}^1\}$$

with multiplication being linear extension of

$$g' \cdot g = \begin{cases} g'g & \exists g' \cdot g \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

A quiver is a non-directed graph where multiple edges and loops are permitted. The (ordinary) quiver Q of an algebra A is a quiver that contains information about the algebra's representations. The exact definition is as follows. The vertices of Q are in a one-to-one correspondence with the set $\mathsf{Irr}\, A$ of all irreducible representations of A (up to isomorphism). Given two irreducible representations U and V the number of edges (more often called *arrows*) from U to V is

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(U, V).$$

For the sake of simplicity, if Q is the quiver of the algebra of $\mathcal{D}$ we will call it simply the quiver of $\mathcal{D}$.

When considering quivers of categories we can restrict our discussion to a special kind of categories. Two categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are called *equivalent* if there are functors $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} \cong 1_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \cong 1_{\mathcal{C}}$ where $\cong$ is natural isomorphism of functors. It is well known that $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are equivalent if and only if there is a fully faithful and essentially surjective functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are equivalent categories then they have the same quiver (since their algebras are Morita equivalent, see [14, Proposition 2.2]). A category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *skeletal* if no two objects of $\mathcal{C}$ are isomorphic. Note that any category $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to some (unique) skeletal category called its *skeleton*. The skeleton of $\mathcal{C}$ is the full subcategory having one object from every isomorphism class of $\mathcal{C}$. So we can restrict ourselves to discussing skeletal categories.

There is a special kind of categories whose quiver has a more concrete description. This description was discovered independently by Li [7] and by Margolis and Steinberg [9]. For explaining it we need more definitions from category theory. A category $\mathcal{D}$ is called an *EI-category* if every endomorphism is an isomorphism. In other words, every endomorphism monoid $\mathcal{D}(a, a)$ of this category is a group. A morphism $g \in \mathcal{D}^1$ of an EI-category is called *irreducible* if it is not an isomorphism but whenever $g = g'g''$, either g' or g'' is an isomorphism. The set of irreducible morphisms from a to b is denoted $\operatorname{IRR} A(a, b)$. The quiver of skeletal EI-categories is described in the following theorem, which is [7, Theorem 4.7] or [9, Theorem 6.13] for the case of the field of complex numbers.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite skeletal EI-category and denote by Q the quiver of $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathbb{C}\operatorname{IRR}\mathcal{D}(a, b)$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space spanned by the set*

$\operatorname{IRR}\mathcal{D}(a,b)$. *It is also an* $\mathcal{D}(b,b)\times\mathcal{D}(a,a)$*-representation according to*

$$(h',h)\cdot g = h'gh^{-1}$$

for $(h',h)\in\mathcal{D}(b,b)\times\mathcal{D}(a,a)$ *and* $g\in\operatorname{IRR}\mathcal{D}(a,b)$. *Then*

1. The vertex set of Q is $\bigsqcup_{a\in\mathcal{D}^0}\mathsf{Irr}\,\mathcal{D}(a,a)$.
2. If $V\in\mathsf{Irr}(\mathcal{D}(a,a))$ and $U\in\mathsf{Irr}(\mathcal{D}(b,b))$, then the number of arrows from V to U is the multiplicity of $U\boxtimes V^*$ as an irreducible constituent of the $\mathcal{D}(b,b)\times\mathcal{D}(a,a)$-representation $\mathbb{C}\operatorname{IRR}\mathcal{D}(a,b)$.

6.3 The quiver of the category $F\wr\mathbf{FI}_n$

As mentioned above, we denote by $\mathbf{FI}_n$ the category of all injective functions between subsets of $\{1,\dots,n\}$. In other words, the objects of $\mathbf{FI}_n$ are subsets of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ and given two objects A and B the hom-set $\mathbf{FI}_n(A,B)$ contains all the injective functions from A to B. Note that $\varnothing$ is an initial object of this category, that is, for every $A\subseteq\{1,\dots,n\}$ there is a unique empty function from $\varnothing$ to A. We are interested in the category $F\wr_H\mathbf{FI}_n$ where $H:\mathbf{FI}_n\to\mathbf{Set}$ is the inclusion functor. We will omit the H and denote this category by $F\wr\mathbf{FI}_n$. This category has a natural description using matrices similar to the description of $F\wr S_n$. We can identify the hom-set $F\wr\mathbf{FI}_n(A,B)$ with a set of matrices whose rows are indexed by elements of B and columns are indexed by elements of A. The matrix $M^{(f,g)}$ identified with $(f,g)\in F\wr\mathbf{FI}_n(A,B)$ is defined by

$$M^{(f,g)}_{i,j}=\begin{cases}f(j) & g(j)=i\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

where $i\in B$ and $j\in A$. g is a total function so $M^{(f,g)}$ has no zero columns. Moreover, since g is an injective function $M^{(f,g)}$ is column and row monomial, that is, every row and column contains at most one non-zero element. Hence $F\wr\mathbf{FI}_n(A,B)$ can be identified with the set of all column and row monomial matrices over F without zero columns where the columns are indexed by A and the rows are indexed by B. Composition of morphisms then corresponds to matrix multiplication. Note that the multiplication $M^{(f',g')}\cdot M^{(f,g)}$ of two matrices of this form where $(f,g)\in F\wr\mathbf{FI}_n(A,B)$ and $(f',g')\in F\wr\mathbf{FI}_n(C,D)$

is defined if and only if $B = C$. In other words, multiplication is defined if and only if the columns of $M^{(f',g')}$ and the rows of $M^{(f,g)}$ are indexed by the same set. It is easy to see that any endomorphism monoid $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n(A, A)$ of this category is isomorphic to the group $F \wr S_A$ hence this category is actually an EI-category. Our goal is to describe the quiver of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$. The case where F is the trivial group was originaly done in [2, Theorem 8.1.2]. A different computation of this case using Theorem 6.4 is done in [9, Example 6.15] and here we merely imitate their method. As explained in the previous section we can work with the skeleton of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$. For the sake of simplicity we will denote this skeleton by $\mathcal{SF}_n$. It is clear that two objects A and B of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$ are isomorphic if and only if $|A| = |B|$. Hence we can identify the skeleton $\mathcal{SF}_n$ with the full subcategory of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$ whose objects are the empty set and $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. So we can identify the objects of $\mathcal{SF}_n$ with $0, \ldots, n$. Now the hom-set $\mathcal{SF}_n(k, r)$ is identified with all the $r \times k$ matrices over F which are column and row monomial and without zero columns. Composition of morphisms then corresponds to matrix multiplication as explained above. By Theorem 6.4 we know that the vertices of the quiver of $\mathcal{SF}_n$ are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible representation of the endomorphism groups, which are $F \wr S_k$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. In other words:

Corollary 6.5. *Let F be a group with l distinct irreducible representations. The vertices in the quiver of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$ can be identified with all the multi-Young diagrams with k boxes and l components where $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

The next step is to identify the irreducible morphisms of $\mathcal{SF}_n$.

Lemma 6.6. *The irreducible morphisms of $\mathcal{SF}_n$ are precisely the morphisms from k to $k+1$ for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. In other words,*

$$\operatorname{IRR} \mathcal{SF}_n(k, r) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{SF}_n(k, r) & r = k+1 \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. It is clear that any morphism in $\mathcal{SF}_n(k, k+1)$ is irreducible. On the other hand, take some morphism $(f, g) \in \mathcal{SF}_n(k, r)$ and assume that $k+1 < r$. Choose $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ not in the image of g. Define $\mathsf{inc} : \{1, \ldots, k\} \to \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$ to be the inclusion function and $g' : \{1, \ldots, k+1\} \to \{1, \ldots, r\}$ is the function

defined by

$$g'(i) = \begin{cases} g(i) & i \leq k \\ j & i = k+1 \end{cases}.$$

It is clear that g' and inc are not bijections and that $g = g' \circ \mathsf{inc}$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}_F$ the constant function $\mathbf{1}_F : \{1, \ldots, k+1\} \to F$ defined by $\mathbf{1}_F(i) = 1_F$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k+1$. Since g' and inc are not bijections it is clear that $(\mathbf{1}_F, g')$ and (f, inc) are not isomorphisms. Moreover,$(\mathbf{1}_F, g') \cdot (f, \mathsf{inc}) = (f, g)$ so (f, g) is not an irreducible morphism as required. □

From Theorem 6.4 and Lemma 6.6 we can immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $V \in \mathsf{Irr}\, F \wr S_k$ and $U \in \mathsf{Irr}\, F \wr S_r$ be two vertices in the quiver of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$ such that $r \neq k+1$ then there are not arrows from V to U.*

It is left to consider the situation where $r = k+1$. We have to study the representation $\mathbb{C}\,\mathrm{IRR}(\mathcal{SF}_n(k, k+1)) = \mathbb{C}\,\mathcal{SF}_n(k, k+1)$ under the action described in Theorem 6.4. This is a permutation representation, i.e., it is a linearization of the action of $F \wr S_k \times F \wr S_{k+1}$ on the set $\mathcal{SF}_n(k, k+1)$ given by

$$((h', \pi'), (h, \pi)) \cdot (f, g) = (h', \pi') \cdot (f, g) \cdot (h, \pi)^{-1}.$$

Lemma 6.8. *The above action is transitive.*

Proof. Chose some $(f, g) \in \mathcal{SF}_n(k, k+1)$ and let $j \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$ be the only element not in the image of g. Define $\pi' \in S_{k+1}$ by

$$\pi'(i) = \begin{cases} g^{-1}(i) & i \neq j \\ k+1 & i = j \end{cases}.$$

Recall that g is injective so $g^{-1}(i)$ is well defined if $i \in \mathsf{im}\, g$. It is clear that $\pi' g = \mathsf{inc} : \{1, \ldots, k\} \to \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$. Now define $h' : \{1, \ldots, k+1\} \to F$ by

$$h'(i) = \begin{cases} (f(g^{-1}(i)))^{-1} & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to see that $(h', \pi') \cdot (f, g) = (\mathbf{1}_F, \mathsf{inc})$ hence the action is transitive (even if we multiply only on the left). □

It is well-known that if the action of G on some set X is transitive then the permutation representation $\mathbb{C}X$ is $\mathrm{Ind}_K^G(\mathsf{tr}_K)$ where $K = \mathrm{stab}(x)$ is the stabilizer of some $x \in X$ and tr_K is its trivial representation.

So our representation is also of this form. We want to understand better the stabilizer of some $x \in \mathcal{SF}_n(k, k+1)$. It is convenient to choose $x = (\mathbf{1}_F, \mathsf{inc})$ and to use the matrix interpretation discussed above.

Lemma 6.9. *Choose $x = (\mathbf{1}_F, \mathsf{inc}) \in \mathcal{SF}_n(k, k+1)$. The stabilizer $\mathrm{stab}(x)$ is isomorphic to $(F \wr S_k) \times F$.*

Proof. $(\mathbf{1}_F, \mathsf{inc})$ is identified with the $(n+1) \times n$ matrix with 1 along its main diagonal and 0 elsewhere.

$$(\mathbf{1}_F, \mathsf{inc}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & I & \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

It is easy to see that given any matrix $A \in F \wr S_k$ if we want some $B \in F \wr S_{k+1}$ such that

$$B \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & I & \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right) A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} & I & \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

then B must be of the form

$$B = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} & A^{-1} & & 0 \\ & & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & a \end{array}\right) = A^{-1} \oplus (a)$$

where a can be any element of F. Hence

$$\mathrm{stab}((\mathbf{1}_F, \mathsf{inc})) = \{(A \oplus (a), A) \mid A \in F \wr S_k, \quad a \in F\} \cong (F \wr S_k) \times F$$

as required. □

Proposition 6.10. *Let $V \in \mathsf{Irr}\, F \wr S_k$ and $U \in \mathsf{Irr}\, F \wr S_{k+1}$ identified with two vertices of the quiver. The number of arrows from V to U is the multiplicity of U*

as an irreducible constituent in the $F \wr S_{k+1}$*-representation* $\mathrm{Ind}_{(F\wr S_k)\times F}^{F\wr S_{k+1}}(V \boxtimes \mathsf{tr}_F)$.

Proof. Denote $K = \mathrm{stab}((\mathbf{1}_F, \mathsf{inc}))$. According to Theorem 6.4 and the above discussion, the required number is the multiplicity of $U \boxtimes V^*$ as an irreducible constituent in the $F \wr S_{k+1} \times F \wr S_k$-representation $\mathrm{Ind}_K^{F\wr S_{k+1}\times F\wr S_k} \mathsf{tr}_K$ where tr_K is the trivial representation of K. Using inner product of characters, and recalling that we use the same notation for the representation and its character, this number is

$$\langle U \boxtimes V^*, \mathrm{Ind}_K^{F\wr S_{k+1}\times F\wr S_k} \mathsf{tr}_K \rangle.$$

By Frobenius reciprocity this equals

$$\langle U \boxtimes V^*, \mathrm{Ind}_K^{F\wr S_{k+1}\times F\wr S_k} \mathsf{tr}_K \rangle = \langle \mathrm{Res}_K^{F\wr S_{k+1}\times F\wr S_k}(U \boxtimes V^*), \mathsf{tr}_K \rangle.$$

Recall that $K = \{(A \oplus (a), A) \mid A \in F \wr S_k, \quad a \in F\}$ so

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{Res}_K^{F\wr S_{k+1}\times F\wr S_k}(U \boxtimes V^*), \mathsf{tr}_K \rangle. &= \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{(A\oplus(a),A)\in K} U \boxtimes V^*(A \oplus (a), A) \\
&= \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{(A\oplus(a),A)\in K} U(A \oplus (a)) V^*(A) \\
&= \frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{(A\oplus(a),A)\in K} U(A \oplus (a)) \overline{V(A)}
\end{aligned}$$

We want to think of the last term as an inner product of $(F\wr S_k)\times F$-representations, but neither U nor V is an $(F \wr S_k) \times F$-representations. However,

$$U(A \oplus (a)) = \mathrm{Res}_{F\wr S_k \times F}^{F\wr S_{k+1}} U(A \oplus (a))$$

and

$$V(A) = V(A)\, \mathsf{tr}_F(a) = (V \boxtimes \mathsf{tr}_F)(A, a)$$

as a $K \cong (F \wr S_k) \times F$ representation. Hence

$$\frac{1}{|K|} \sum_{(A\oplus(a),A)\in K} U(A \oplus (a)) \overline{V(A)}$$

equals

$$\frac{1}{|(F \wr S_k) \times F|} \sum_{(A,a) \in F \wr S_k \times F} (\mathrm{Res}^{F \wr S_{k+1}}_{(F \wr S_k) \times F} U)(A \oplus (a)) \overline{(V \boxtimes \mathsf{tr}_F)(A,a)}$$

which is the inner product

$$\langle \mathrm{Res}^{F \wr S_{k+1}}_{(F \wr S_k) \times F} U, V \boxtimes \mathsf{tr}_F \rangle.$$

Using again Frobenius reciprocity this equals

$$\langle U, \mathrm{Ind}^{F \wr S_{k+1}}_{K} (V \boxtimes \mathsf{tr}_F) \rangle$$

which is precisely the required number. □

Clearly $K \cong (F \wr S_k) \times F = (F \wr S_k) \times (F \wr S_1)$. Note also that the embedding $K \hookrightarrow F \wr S_{k+1}$ is precisely the standard embedding of the Littlewood-Richardson rule. By Theorem 4.5 we can conclude:

Theorem 6.11. *The vertices of the quiver of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$ are in one-to-one correspondence with multi-Young diagrams with with k boxes and l components where $l = |\,\mathsf{Irr}\, F|$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$. Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \ldots k_l)$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_l)$ be two integer compositions of k and r respectively and let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l) \Vdash \mathbf{k}$ and $\Delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_l) \Vdash \mathbf{r}$ be multipartitions of $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ respectively. There can be no more than one arrow from Φ_Λ to Φ_Δ. There is an arrow if and only if the following holds:*

- $r = k + 1$.
- $r_1 = k_1 + 1$ *and* $r_i = k_i$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq l$.
- λ_1 *is obtained from* δ_1 *by adding one box, and* $\lambda_i = \delta_i$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq l$.

Proof. We have already seen that there are no arrows from Φ_Λ to Φ_Δ unless $r = k + 1$ (Corollary 6.7). If $r = k + 1$ then Proposition 6.10 implies that the number of arrows from Φ_Λ to Φ_Δ is the multiplicity of Φ_Δ as an irreducible constituent in $\mathrm{Ind}^{F \wr S_{k+1}}_{F \wr S_k \times F}(\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes \mathsf{tr}_F) = \mathrm{Ind}^{F \wr S_{k+1}}_{F \wr S_k \times F \wr S_1}(\Phi_\Lambda \boxtimes \mathsf{tr}_F)$. Recall that by Remark 3.10 the multi-Young diagram corresponds to tr_F is

$$(\square, \varnothing, \ldots, \varnothing)$$

so the result follows immediately from the Littlewood-Richardson rule for $F \wr S_n$. □

Example 6.12. The quiver of the category $S_3 \wr \mathbf{FI}_2$ is given in the following figure:



Clearly, two multipartitions $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ and $\Delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_l)$ are in the same connected component if and only if $\lambda_i = \delta_i$ for $i = 2, \ldots, l$. Hence connected components can be parametrized by multipartitions of k with $l-1$ components where $k = 0, \ldots, n$. Denote by $P_l(n)$ the number of multipartitions of n with l components. A generating function for this sequence and other formulas can be found in [1]. The following result is immediate.

Corollary 6.13. *Let F be a non-trivial finite group and denote $l = |\operatorname{Irr} F|$. Then the quiver of $F \wr \mathbf{FI}_n$ has*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} P_{l-1}(k)$$

connected components.

Acknowledgements: The author is grateful to Tullio Ceccherini-Silberstein for examining this work and for his very helpful remarks.

References

[1] George E. Andrews. A survey of multipartitions: congruences and identities. In *Surveys in number theory*, volume 17 of *Dev. Math.*, pages 1–19. Springer, New York, 2008.

[2] Bridget Helen Brimacombe. *The Representation Theory of the Incidence Algebra of an Inverse Semigroup*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2011. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Carleton University (Canada).

[3] Tullio Ceccherini-Silberstein, Fabio Scarabotti, and Filippo Tolli. *Representation theory and harmonic analysis of wreath products of finite groups*, volume 410 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

[4] Thomas Church, Jordan S. Ellenberg, and Benson Farb. FI-modules and stability for representations of symmetric groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(9):1833–1910, 2015.

[5] Frank Ingram, Naihuan Jing, and Ernie Stitzinger. Wreath product symmetric functions. *Int. J. Algebra*, 3(1-4):1–19, 2009.

[6] Gordon James and Adalbert Kerber. *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, volume 16 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1981. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn, With an introduction by Gilbert de B. Robinson.

[7] Liping Li. A characterization of finite EI categories with hereditary category algebras. *J. Algebra*, 345:213–241, 2011.

[8] Liping Li. Upper bounds of homological invariants of FI_G-modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.05879*, 2015.

[9] Stuart Margolis and Benjamin Steinberg. Quivers of monoids with basic algebras. *Compos. Math.*, 148(5):1516–1560, 2012.

[10] I. A. Pushkarev. On the theory of representations of the wreath products of finite groups and symmetric groups. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 240(Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 2):229–244, 294–295, 1997.

[11] Eric Ramos. Homological invariants of FI-modules and FI_G-modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.03964*, 2015.

[12] Bruce E. Sagan. *The symmetric group*, volume 203 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2001. Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions.

[13] Steven V Sam and Andrew Snowden. Representations of categories of G-maps. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.6054*, 2014.

[14] Peter Webb. An introduction to the representations and cohomology of categories. In *Group representation theory*, pages 149–173. EPFL Press, Lausanne, 2007.